

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/936,872	09/17/2001	Ekapot Bhunachet	P01619	P01619 9445	
75	590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MR. ERKAPOT BHUNACHET			MANTIS MERCADER, ELENI M		
IBARAKI, 305	JGA, TSUKUBA 5-0821,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
JAPAN			3737		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2000	DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/936,872	BHUNACHET, EKAPOT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eleni Mantis Mercader	3737		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eleni Mantis Mercader	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	hut min to the date of filling a bring	ما لمصمفهم مما فمم الثين	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	_	
is		Elevillanti	Men
		Eleni Mantis Merc Primary Examiner	ader

Art Unit: 3737

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The currently amended claims appear to be substantially different than the previously submitted claims requiring further consideration and search. Also claim 34 is incomplete making its evaluation impossible (a copy of the claim as it was provided to the Examiner is attached).

- 34) (New) A fluorescence electronic endoscopic system for viewing subject matter comprising, in combination:
 - at least one excitation light emitting system structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter with excitation light;
 - II) at least one non-excitation light emitting system structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter with non-excitation light;
 - III) at least one alternating system structured and arranged to alternate use of said at least one excitation light emitting system and said at least one non-excitation light emitting system.
 - Wherein said at least one alternating system is structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter for first periods of time essentially only said at least one excitation light emitting system, and
 - Wherein said at least one alternating system is structured and arranged to illuminate the subject matter for second periods of time by said at least one non-excitation light emitting system;
 - IV) at least image sensing system, structured and arranged to sense images of the subject matter, comprising,
 - D at least one color CCD inside an endoscope,
 - 2 at least three video channels, wherein:
 - at least one of said video channels is structured and arranged to differentiate without using any filters or dichroic mirrors between the excitation light and the fluorescence emitted from the subject matter, and transfinit only the fluorescence image during such first period of time, and
 - at least two of said video channels are structured and arranged each to transmit at least one such image sensed during such second period of time
 - v) at least one superimposing system structured and arranged to superimpose such images sensed by said image sensing system,
 - O wherein at least one such image sensed during such first period of time is superimposed with at least one such image sensed during such second period of time to create at least one such superimposed image.

REMARKS

If there are any fees required by this communication, please inform the applicant at the fax phone number -81-29-851-8721.

Respectfully submitted.

Date: April 4, 2005

By: <u>Cleanot Bhunsachet</u> Ekapot Bhunschet 2-92-22 Kasuga, Tsukuba Ibaraki, 805-0821

Japan 81-29-851-8721 Applicant

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with EMS mail in an envelope addressed to Eleni Mantis Mercader, Primary Examiner. Art Unit 3787, UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22818-1450, on April 4, 2005,

Name of applicant:

Ekapot Bhunachot

Date of Sig.: April 4, 2005

Signaturo: Chapot Bhunachet